CLIMAAX
climate ready regions

Deliverable Phase 2 – Climate risk assessment

CLIMAAX for Aveiro Region: Planning for Future Resilience and Sustainability (CLIMAAX4CIRA)

Portugal, Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro

Version 2.0 | October 2026

HORIZON-MISS-2021-CLIMA-02-01 - Development of climate change risk assessments in European regions and communities based on a transparent and harmonised Climate Risk Assessment approach

Funded by the European Union

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon Europe research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101093864. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or the European Climate, Infrastructure and Environment Executive Agency (CINEA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Document Information

Deliverable Title	Phase 2 – Climate risk assessment
Brief Description	Deliverable Phase 2 marks the operational advancement of the CLIMAAX4CIRA project. Building on Phase 1, this report transitions from broad screening to a decision-oriented evidence base through high-resolution local data. It establishes a nuanced risk prioritization for the Aveiro Region, identifying critical vulnerabilities such as wildfires and coastal flooding, which serve as the strategic foundation for developing adaptation pathways in the project's final phase.
Project name	CLIMAAX for Aveiro Region: Planning for Future Resilience and Sustainability (CLIMAAX4CIRA)
Country	Portugal
Region/Municipality	Intermunicipal Community of the Aveiro Region *Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro (CIRA)*
Leading Institution	*Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro (CIRA)*
Author(s)	• José Eduardo de Matos (CIRA) • Patrícia Castro (CIRA) • Sílvia Coelho (University of Aveiro) • Bruno Augusto (University of Aveiro) • Fábio Matos (University of Aveiro) • Jade Alves-Gabiron (University of Aveiro) • Ryu Yamashiro (University of Aveiro) • Myriam Lopes (University of Aveiro) • Ana Isabel Miranda (University of Aveiro)
Deliverable submission date	30/06/2026
Final version delivery date	08/10/2026
Nature of the Deliverable	R – Report
Dissemination Level	PU - Public

Version	Date	Change editors	Changes
1.0	30/06/2026	Intermunicipal Community of the Aveiro Region	Deliverable submitted
2.0	08/10/2026	Intermunicipal Community of the Aveiro Region	Revised Deliverable

Table of contents

Document Information 2
Table of contents 3
List of figures 5
List of tables 6
Abbreviations and acronyms 7
Executive summary 9
1. Introduction 10
1.1. Background 10
1.2. Main objectives of the project 10
1.3. Project team 11
1.4. Outline of the document's structure 11
2. Climate risk assessment – phase 2 12
2.1. Scoping 12
2.1.1. Objectives 12
2.1.2. Context 12
2.1.3. Participation and risk ownership 12
2.1.4. Application of principles 14
2.1.5. Stakeholder engagement 15
2.2. Risk Exploration 16
2.2.1. Screen risks (selection of main hazards) 16
2.2.2. Choose Scenario 16
2.3. Regionalized Risk Analysis 17
2.3.1. Systematic Comparison of Phase 1 and Phase 2 Assessments 17
2.3.2. Floods – finetuning to local context 18
2.3.3. Heavy Rainfall – finetuning to local context 22
2.3.4. Heatwaves – finetuning to local context 25
2.3.5. Drought – finetuning to local context 26
2.3.6. Fire – finetuning to local context 28
2.4. Key Risk Assessment Findings 31
2.4.1. Mode of engagement for participation 31
2.4.2. Gather output from Risk Analysis step 33
2.4.3. Assess Severity 33
2.4.4. Assess Urgency 34

2.4.5. Understand Resilience Capacity 35
2.4.6. Decide on Risk Priority 36
2.5. Monitoring and Evaluation 37
2.6. Work plan Phase 3 38
3. Conclusions Phase 2- Climate risk assessment 40
4. Progress evaluation 42
5. Supporting documentation 43
6. References 45

List of figures

Figure 1-1 Aveiro Region location. 10
Figure 2-1 Regional in-person workshop (28 May 2026). 15
Figure 2-2 Spatial distribution analysis of projected river flood economic damage (Millions of €/cell) comparing RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emissions scenarios for 2030, 2050, and 2080. 20
Figure 2-3 Composite panel displaying coastal flood damage, inundation depth, and associated land use classification for a 1-in-100-year return period event in the year 2050. 21
Figure 2-4 Critical infrastructure exposure map showing specific asset vulnerabilities (hospitals, schools, power stations, etc.) overlaid on a 10-year return period river flood hazard zone (a); High-exposure validation inset map checking specific vulnerable critical cluster locations for a 500-year return period flood scenario (b). 22
Figure 2-5 Side-by-side comparison of baseline vs. projected return periods (2071-2100) for intense 70mm/24h extreme precipitation events under the SSP5-8.5 climate scenario. 24
Figure 2-6 Comparison of climate risk assessment aggregation methods, showing an Advanced Composite Vulnerability Index map (Method A) alongside a multi-dimensional Pareto Front hotspot map (Method B). 24
Figure 2-7 Magnitude of the potential projected increase of the heatwave occurrence, from 1 to 10 (1-very low change, 10-very high change), for the short- (2016-2045) and mid-term (2046-2075) future, under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. 26
Figure 2-8 Classified regions by vulnerable population density. 26
Figure 2-9 Potential projected increase of the heatwave risk to vulnerable population groups, for the short- (2016-2045) and mid-term (2046-2075) future, under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5. 26
Figure 2-10 Maize (a), beans (b), potato (c), rice (d) and (e) wine revenue loss from precipitation deficit, for the period 2036-2065, considering the RCP8.5 scenario. 28
Figure 2-11 Normalized fire baseline risk matrices for the Aveiro region mapping Combustibility Index (a), Danger Index via FWI classes (b), Topographical Index (c), and Normalized Burn Area historical footprints (d). 30
Figure 2-12 Regional multiplier map displaying classified fire department access factors aggregated across individual municipalities. 31
Figure 2-13 Advanced risk profiling map showing optimized Pareto Front indicators highlighting highest-risk (red) and lowest-risk (green) priority asset locations overlaid across a regional capacity backdrop. 31
Figure 2-14 Key Risk Assessment. 37
Figure 5-1 Example of the GeoNode interface displaying the final fire risk spatial distribution for the Aveiro Region. 43
Figure 5-2 Communication materials from Phase 2 available through the project's Zenodo repository. 44

List of tables

Table 2-1 Stakeholders mapping. 13
Table 2-2 Systematic comparison of Phase 1 and Phase 2 assessments across five workflows. . 17
Table 2-3 Data overview for river floods workflow. 19
Table 2-4 Data overview for coastal floods workflow 20
Table 2-5 Data overview for flood building damage and population exposed workflow. 21
Table 2-6 Data overview for heavy rainfall workflow 23
Table 2-7 Data overview for heatwaves workflow 25
Table 2-8 Data overview for agricultural drought workflow 27
Table 2-9 Data overview for fire workflow. 28
Table 4-1 Overview key performance indicators 42
Table 4-2 Overview milestones 42

Abbreviations and acronyms

Abbreviation / acronym	Description
AdRA	Waters of the Aveiro Region | *Águas da Região de Aveiro*
AIDA CCI	Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the District of Aveiro | *Câmara de Comércio e Indústria do Distrito de Aveiro*
APA	Portuguese Environmental Agency | *Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente*
APA SA	Port of Aveiro Administration | *Administração do Porto de Aveiro*
APS	Portuguese Insurers Association | *Associação Portuguesa de Seguradores*
ARH	Regional Hydrographic Administration - Centre of Portugal | *Administração de Região Hidrográfica do Centro*
BGRI	Geographic Information Reference Database | *Base Geográfica de Referenciação de Informação*
BUPI	Digital Land Cadastre | *Balcão Único do Prédio*
CCDRC	Regional Coordination and Development Commission - Centre of Portugal | *Comissão de Coordenação e Desenvolvimento Regional do Centro, CCDRC*
CIRA	Intermunicipal Community of the Region of Aveiro | *Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro*
COS	Portuguese Land Cover dataset | *Carta de Uso e Ocupação do Solo*
CRA	Climate Risk Assessment
CRM	Climate Risk Management
CSREPC	Sub-regional emergency and civil protection command | *Comando Sub-Regional de Emergência e Proteção Civil*
DAO	Department of Environment and Planning | *Departamento de Ambiente e Ordenamento*
DEM	Digital Elevation Model
DGT	Directorate-General for Transport | *Direção-Geral do Território*
DRAPC	Regional Directorate of Agriculture and Fisheries - Centre of Portugal | *Direção Regional de Agricultura e Pescas do Centro*
EIDT.RA 2030	Integrated Strategy for Territorial Development of the Aveiro Region | *Estratégia Integrada de Desenvolvimento Territorial da Região de Aveiro 2030*
ENAAC	National Strategy for Adaptation to Climate Change | *Estratégia Nacional de Adaptação às Alterações Climáticas*
E-Redes	Electricity Distribution in Portugal | *Distribuição de Energia Elétrica em Portugal*
FWI	Fire Weather Index
GADM	Database of Global Administrative Areas
GAEZ	Global Agro-Ecological Zones
GIS	Geographic Information Systems'
GNR	Aveiro Territorial Command of the National Republican Guard | *Guarda Nacional Republicana - Comando Territorial de Aveiro*
ICNF	Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests | *Instituto da Conservação da Natureza e das Florestas*
INE	Statistics Portugal | *Instituto Nacional de Estatística*

IP	Portuguese Infrastructures | *Infraestruturas de Portugal*
IPMA	Portuguese Institute for Sea and Atmosphere | *Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera*
JRC	Joint Research Center
KRA	Key Risk Assessment
LUISA	Land-Use-based Integrated Sustainability Assessment
OERC	Order of Engineers - Centre Region |*Ordem dos Engenheiros - Região Centro*
OSM	Open Street Maps
PDM	Municipal Master Plan | *Plano Diretor Municipal*
PMAC	Municipal Climate Action Plans | *Plano Municipal de Ação Climática*
PRA Centro	Regional Action Program for the Center Region | *Programa Regional de Ação do Centro*
SERI Index	Socio-Ecological Resilience Index
TCP	Tourism of Central Portugal | *Turismo Centro de Portugal*
TWI	Topographic Wetness Index
UA	University of Aveiro | *Universidade de Aveiro*
UHI	Urban Heat Island
ULSRA	Local Health Unit of the Aveiro Region | *Unidade Local de Saúde da Região de Aveiro*
WUI	Wildland-Urban Interface

Executive summary

This Deliverable presents the Phase 2 Climate Risk Assessment (CRA) for the Intermunicipal Community of the Aveiro Region (*Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro*, hereafter referred to as the Aveiro Region or CIRA), developed under the CLIMAAX framework. Transitioning from the European-scale screening conducted in Phase 1, Phase 2 marked a critical shift toward operationalization and localized policy relevance. Executed between September 1, 2025, and June 30, 2026, this phase sought to answer whether integrating national and municipal datasets within a highly heterogeneous territory led to more actionable and equitable climate risk outputs.

The assessment deepened the analysis of five priority risks—extreme precipitation, floods (river and coastal), heatwaves, droughts and wildfires. This phase actively addressed the limitations of Phase 1 by incorporating high-resolution, geo-referenced local data (e.g., Portuguese land use dataset (COS), and cadastral data). Crucially, the wildfire risk modelling was entirely recalibrated using multi-dimensional Pareto optimization to reflect the specific biophysical drivers, fuel loads, and socio-demographic vulnerabilities of the Portuguese landscape.

Another central pillar of Phase 2 was the integration of environmental justice principles. The analysis revealed that climate impacts in the Aveiro Region are unevenly distributed, mirroring deep socio-economic asymmetries between the densely populated, industrialized coastal areas (facing sea-level rise and flash floods) and the aging, depopulated inland municipalities (facing severe wildfire and drought risks).

Structured stakeholder engagement was instrumental in this phase, built around two key milestones: a technical municipal consultation (November 2025) to secure local data and define scenarios, and a comprehensive regional workshop (May 2026) involving civil protection, environmental agencies, health authorities, and municipalities. This engagement validated the refined risk maps, capturing vital local nuances—such as the critical danger at the wildland-urban interface (WUI) and the impacts of inadequate local drainage systems—that broad models often miss.

Ultimately, Phase 2 established a robust, transparent, and locally validated evidence base. By synthesizing these multi-criteria evaluations into a definitive Key Risk Assessment (KRA), this deliverable successfully prioritizes hazards to guide future action: Wildfire is identified as the paramount threat (Very High priority) due to structural land management deficits, followed by Coastal Floods (High priority), which are effectively modulated by existing intermunicipal protective frameworks. River Floods, Heavy Rainfall, and Drought are categorized as Moderate priority risks requiring sustained mid-term interventions, while Heatwaves are classified as Low priority, indicating that current adaptive capacities are largely sufficient.

By highlighting both the critical risk hotspots and the structural limitations in local data interoperability, this assessment provides a clear, equitable foundation for Phase 3, where the focus will shift to integrating these findings into spatial planning, with the Municipal Master Plans (PDMs) and Municipal Climate Action Plans (PMACs).

1. Introduction

1.1. Background

The Aveiro Region (NUTS III) (Região de Aveiro, 2024), located in central-north Continental Portugal, encompasses 11 municipalities and covers approximately 1,693 km². The territory is characterized by a stark geographic and demographic duality. To the west, the coastal zone is dominated by the Ria de Aveiro—a sprawling, highly dynamic coastal lagoon ecosystem of immense ecological and economic value (supporting aquaculture, tourism, and a major port). This coastal strip is densely populated and industrialized. Conversely, the inland eastern municipalities transition into steep, forested mountainous terrain, which has suffered from decades of demographic inversion, rural abandonment, and an aging population.




Figure 1-1 Aveiro Region location.

This spatial dichotomy creates a complex matrix of climate vulnerabilities. The region features a temperate Mediterranean climate (Csb) with mild, rainy winters and hot, dry summers. However, climate change is profoundly altering these baselines. The coastal areas are increasingly threatened by sea-level rise, coastal erosion, and extreme precipitation events that overwhelm urban drainage systems. Meanwhile, the inland areas face intensifying agricultural droughts and escalating rural wildfire risks, exacerbated by the proliferation of highly combustible, unmanaged eucalyptus plantations resulting from land abandonment and fragmented private ownership.

Compounding these physical vulnerabilities are significant structural challenges. Historically, regional planning has grappled with uneven technical and financial capacities across municipalities. Access to high-resolution, interoperable environmental data remains asymmetrical, frequently creating a "technical invisibility" for peripheral areas and hindering the implementation of equitable, data-driven adaptation strategies.

1.2. Main objectives of the project

The overarching goal of the CLIMAAX4CIRA project is to establish the enabling conditions for robust climate adaptation in the Aveiro Region by delivering a reliable, science-based Climate Risk Assessment (CRA). While Phase 1 tested the conceptual CLIMAAX workflows using European-scale data, Phase 2 shifted towards operationalization and local relevance.

The specific objectives of Phase 2 were to:

- **Refine Risk Assessments:** Downscale the Phase 1 assessment by integrating high-quality, high-resolution datasets maintained by national and municipal institutions (e.g., specific land-use maps, updated demographic censuses).
- **Promote Environmental Justice:** Democratize access to climate data, ensuring that socio-economic vulnerabilities—such as aging populations, isolated rural communities, and marginalized coastal settlements—were explicitly factored into risk modeling.
- **Support Policy Integration:** Produce spatial risk outputs that can be directly absorbed into municipal planning instruments, particularly PDMs and PMACs.
- **Enhance Multi-Level Governance:** Foster collaboration among stakeholders across various sectors (civil protection, forestry, health, and urban planning) to validate empirical findings against local lived experiences and operational constraints.

1.3. Project team

The CLIMAAX4CIRA project is a transdisciplinary collaboration between regional governance and academia, structured as follows:

- **Project Coordination (CIRA)**: Led by José Eduardo de Matos (Executive Secretary) and Patrícia Castro (Project Manager), driving stakeholder facilitation and policy alignment.
- **Technical Partners (University of Aveiro)**: Executed by the Department of Environment and Planning (DAO) and CESAM (Sílvia Coelho, Bruno Augusto, Fábio Matos, Ana Isabel Miranda, Myriam Lopes), handling climate modelling, data engineering, and risk frameworks.
- **Academic Integration (University of Aveiro)**: Expanded via master's research by Jade Alves-Gabiron (wildfire vulnerability) and bachelor's support from Ryu Yamashiro, integrating early-career researchers into applied, interdisciplinary science.

1.4. Outline of the document's structure

This document presents the Phase 2 Climate Risk Assessment for the Aveiro Region. Chapter 2 details the core CRA process according to the CLIMAAX framework: it begins with Scoping (2.1) and Risk Exploration (2.2), highlighting the specific governance context, stakeholder engagement, and scenario selection applied during this phase. Section 2.3 provides the detailed Regionalized Risk Analysis for the five priority hazards, showcasing the methodological refinements and local data integration. The analytical outputs are then synthesized in the Key Risk Assessment Findings (2.4), which evaluates severity, urgency, and adaptive capacity guided by stakeholder validation. Finally, Monitoring and Evaluation (2.5) and the Work plan for Phase 3 (2.6) outline the progress made, remaining data gaps, and the roadmap for developing targeted adaptation strategies. The document concludes with a summary of Phase 2 results (Chapter 3), an evaluation of progress to date (Chapter 4). Supporting materials and references are included at the end.

2. Climate risk assessment – phase 2

2.1. Scoping

2.1.1. Objectives

The overarching objective of this Climate Risk Assessment (CRA) was to provide a solid, localized evidence base for climate adaptation planning in the Aveiro Region. Transitioning from the conceptual screening in Phase 1, Phase 2 focused on operationalizing the CLIMAAX framework by utilizing high-resolution, municipal, and national-level datasets. The expected outcome was a set of refined, spatially explicit risk maps and indicators that support decision-making, policy development, and the prioritization of adaptation investments across the 11 municipalities.

Limitations and Boundaries: While Phase 2 significantly improved the quality of the assessment, several limitations materialized during its execution, primarily related to data availability and institutional fragmentation. Gathering local data revealed profound disparities in "informational health" among municipalities. Even when data existed, the heterogeneity of the Geographic Information Systems' (GIS) formats, different spatial reference systems, and the absence of metadata posed major hurdles to interoperability and regional harmonization. Consequently, integrating data into a cohesive regional analysis required intensive manual harmonization, which limited the speed and depth of some overlay analyses.

2.1.2. Context

The Aveiro Region is deeply sensitive to climate variability. Historically, the territory has dealt with alternating extremes: severe coastal and pluvial flooding in the low-lying lagoon areas (*Baixo Vouga*), and devastating rural wildfires in the inland mountainous and forested municipalities.

As in other Portuguese NUTS III regions, the structural vulnerabilities of the territory amplify these climate hazards. Inland areas face rural depopulation, the abandonment of agricultural lands, and an aging population, which together with fragmented private land ownership and the proliferation of highly combustible eucalyptus plantations, create an explosive environment for wildfires. Meanwhile, the coastal and central urban areas suffer from dense soil sealing and inadequate drainage infrastructure, compounding the risks of extreme precipitation and urban heat islands.

The governance context for climate risk assessment is framed by the National Strategy for Adaptation to Climate Change (ENAAC) (Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente, 2015) and the ongoing development of Municipal Climate Action Plans (PMACs) (Almeida and Nunes, 2024; Barroso et al., 2025; Cardoso and Nunes, 2021, 2024; Carlos et al., 2016; Costa and Nunes, 2024; Laranjeira and Nunes, 2023; Medina et al., 2024). However, translating these national guidelines into local implementation remains challenging. Similar to other regions in Portugal, there is a structural gap in technical and financial capacity at the local level, making the provision of actionable, regionalized climate data through the CLIMAAX project a critical tool for empowering these municipalities.

2.1.3. Participation and risk ownership

The stakeholder involvement process in Phase 2 was continuous, integrating institutional and territorial knowledge throughout the project's development. Following an initial online consultation that aligned the CIRA coordination team, the University of Aveiro experts, and municipal environmental technicians, a comprehensive mapping of relevant stakeholders was conducted. This

process identified key entities whose roles are critical for climate risk management in the region. The table below categorizes these stakeholders by their primary area of action:

Table 2-1 Stakeholders mapping.

Category	Stakeholders
Municipal & Intermunicipal Authorities	Águeda; Albergaria-a-Velha; Anadia; Aveiro; Estarreja; Ílhavo; Murtosa; Oliveira do Bairro; Ovar; Sever do Vouga, Vagos Intermunicipal Community of the Aveiro Region *(Comunidade Intermunicipal da Região de Aveiro, CIRA)*
Civil Protection & Security	Sub-regional emergency and civil protection command *(Comando Sub-Regional de Emergência e Proteção Civil, CSREPC)* Aveiro Region Civil Protection Working Group *(Grupo de Trabalho da Proteção Civil da Região de Aveiro)* Aveiro Territorial Command of the National Republican Guard *(Guarda Nacional Republicana, GNR - Comando Territorial de Aveiro)*
National & Regional Authorities	Portuguese Environmental Agency *(Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente, APA)* Regional Hydrographic Administration - Centre of Portugal *(Administração de Região Hidrográfica do Centro, ARH)* Regional Coordination and Development Commission - Centre of Portugal *(Comissão de Coordenação e Desenvolvimento Regional do Centro, CCDRC)* Regional Directorate of Agriculture and Fisheries - Centre of Portugal *(Direção Regional de Agricultura e Pescas do Centro, DRAPC)* Institute for Nature Conservation and Forests *(Instituto da Conservação da Natureza e das Florestas, ICNF)* Portuguese Institute for Sea and Atmosphere *(Instituto Português do Mar e da Atmosfera, IPMA)*
Health	Local Health Unit of the Aveiro Region *(Unidade Local de Saúde da Região de Aveiro, ULSRA)*
Academia & Research	University of Aveiro (*Universidade de Aveiro, UA*)
Utilities & Infrastructure Services	Port of Aveiro Administration *(Administração do Porto de Aveiro, APA SA)* Waters of the Aveiro Region *(Águas da Região de Aveiro, AdRA)* Electricity Distribution in Portugal *(Distribuição de Energia Elétrica em Portugal, E-Redes)* Portuguese Infrastructures *(Infraestruturas de Portugal, IP)*
Civil Society & Socio-Economic Organisations	Aveiro Lagoon and Coastline Sustainable Development *(RiaViva)* Tourism of Central Portugal *(Turismo Centro de Portugal, TCP)* Chamber of Commerce and Industry of the District of Aveiro *(Câmara de Comércio e Indústria do Distrito de Aveiro, AIDA CCI)* Order of Engineers - Centre Region *(Ordem dos Engenheiros - Região Centro, OERC)* Portuguese Insurers Association *(Associação Portuguesa de Seguradores, APS)*

This diverse group represents the full spectrum of governance, technical and scientific expertise, and sectoral interests, ranging from governmental infrastructure management to community-based advocacy. Their contributions were instrumental in validating the relevance of the selected risks, grounding technical models in territorial reality, and identifying critical data gaps that needed to be addressed.

The governance of these risks in the Aveiro Region follows a collaborative model involving municipal technical services, civil protection structures and relevant regional and sectoral stakeholders. Municipal technical services play a central role in land use planning and the implementation of preventive measures, while civil protection authorities provide an operational perspective on emergency preparedness and response. The involvement of municipal civil protection officers is particularly relevant for understanding local exposure and vulnerability, including the identification of populations and areas that may be more difficult to reach or support during extreme events. This territorial and operational knowledge complements the quantitative information used in the assessment. Health and other relevant sectoral authorities were also included in the stakeholder mapping and engagement process.

2.1.4. Application of principles

The methodological approach of this study systematically operationalizes the core pillars of the CLIMAAX framework. By anchoring the technical workflow in local realities, the assessment transitions from a theoretical data exercise into a practical tool for equitable climate resilience.

The CLIMAAX framework principles were systematically applied, heavily guided by an Environmental Justice perspective:

- **Social justice, equity, inclusivity:** The assessment recognized three dimensions of environmental justice: *distributive justice* (mapping where hazards intersect with vulnerable populations, such as the elderly or isolated rural communities), *procedural justice* (democratizing access to high-resolution climate data so all municipalities, regardless of their resources, could plan effectively), and *recognition justice* (acknowledging local contexts, such as the specific dynamics of the wildland-urban interface).
- **Quality, rigour, transparency:** The workflow moved from generalized European datasets to detailed national and local data. Transparently addressing the challenges of data interoperability and explicitly presenting modelling uncertainties to stakeholders ensured scientific rigor.
- **Precautionary approach:** Recognizing the severe consequences of under-preparing for climate impacts, the assessment incorporated worst-case scenarios (RCP8.5) and long-term horizons for specific analyses, particularly for infrastructure stress-testing, following direct requests from municipalities.

By interlocking the technical rigor of the CLIMAAX framework with an explicit Environmental Justice lens, this workflow evolves climate risk assessment from a passive data-exercise into an active tool for equitable governance. It shifts the paradigm from broad-scale exposure mapping to targeted, community-level vulnerability validation, empowering local authorities to safeguard both critical infrastructure and marginalized populations with equal precision.

2.1.5. Stakeholder engagement

The stakeholder engagement process for the CLIMAAX4CIRA project built upon the existing institutional collaboration established in the Aveiro Region. In November 2025, an online technical consultation was held with environmental technicians from all 11 municipalities of the Aveiro Region, who are actively involved in the region's established sustainability working group. The consultation provided an opportunity to gather the municipalities' perspectives on the climate risks considered most relevant in their respective territories and to discuss the methodological priorities for Phase 2. A second regional stakeholder workshop was held in person on 28 May 2026, with the aim of discussing, validating, and prioritising the refined risk assessment results. For each of the 11 municipalities, both environmental technicians and civil protection officers were invited, and representatives from nine municipalities attended. The workshop also included representatives from Intermunicipal Authority (CIRA), civil protection (CSREPC), academia and research (UA), utilities and infrastructure services, (IP), and civil society and socio-economic organisations (RiaViva and TCP). As shown in Table 2-1, additional stakeholders were also invited to the workshop, although not all invited organisations were represented. Full participant registries, signed attendance sheets, workshop activities, and photographic evidence documenting stakeholder participation are available in the project's Communication Materials archive on Zenodo.

During the workshop, the preliminary results were presented and discussed with the participants, allowing the modelled results to be considered alongside their territorial and operational knowledge. In particular, participants identified local specificities that are not necessarily captured by regional scale assessments, including flash flooding in small watercourses, limitations in local drainage systems, wildfire risk at the wildland urban interface, forest fuel management, emergency accessibility, tourism related exposure, and the combined effects of extreme precipitation, spring tides and coastal erosion within the Ria de Aveiro system. This feedback was considered in the interpretation of the results and in the subsequent risk prioritization. A detailed breakdown of stakeholder feedback and its integration into model calibration and risk prioritization is documented in the supplementary material.



Figure 2-1 Regional in-person workshop (28 May 2026).

While this structured engagement proved highly productive, maintaining the continuous presence of all target entities required significant logistical effort. The synchronization of diverse professional schedules was a recurring challenge, as securing the participation of key representatives in pre-scheduled formal sessions demanded constant coordination. Nevertheless, the project team actively worked to broaden the engagement beyond these dedicated milestones; for instance, in

February 2026, the project was presented at an Environmental Justice Conference held in Aveiro. Although the conference took place within a scientific framework, it served as a bridge to a wider audience, fostering an inclusive debate that brought together not only the academic community, but also various public and private stakeholders committed to addressing regional climate challenges.

2.2. Risk Exploration

2.2.1. Screen risks (selection of main hazards)

Following Phase 1 screening and robust stakeholder validation in Phase 2, the assessment retained its focus on five primary hazards: floods (river and coastal), extreme precipitation, heatwaves, droughts and wildfires.

The relevance of these hazards was overwhelmingly confirmed by the municipalities during the data request phase, with clear spatial divisions reflecting the territory's duality (e.g., floods dominating coastal concerns, wildfires dominating inland concerns). While stakeholders mentioned other emerging threats—such as strong winds, coastal erosion, and the combined effect of storm surges with spring tides—these were not fully modeled in the current workflows but were documented as critical cascading risks for future spatial planning and adaptation iterations.

The main development in this step is the systematic transition from regional hazard indices to high-resolution, asset-level exposure maps. The project currently possesses robust, localized datasets for these primary threats, including 50m downscaled flood-depth rasters and localized land-cover matrices. However, critical knowledge gaps remain regarding the vulnerability curves of specific critical infrastructure and socio-economic data at a higher resolution, which will provide significant information for the adaptation measures that will be the focus of phase 3.

2.2.2. Choose Scenario

The selection of future climate conditions was directly driven by municipal feedback, aiming to balance probabilistic planning with the need to protect long-term infrastructural investments:

- **Future Climate Scenarios:** The assessment considered both the RCP4.5 (intermediate emissions) scenario, which represents a stabilization pathway useful for medium-term societal adaptation, and the RCP8.5 (high emissions) scenario. The inclusion of RCP8.5 was explicitly requested by municipalities (such as Ovar and Albergaria-a-Velha) as a precautionary stress-test for infrastructure, since under-dimensioning protective works (like drainage systems) carries a far higher socio-economic cost than over-dimensioning.
- **Time Horizons:** The analysis spanned short-term (up to 2040) and medium-term (2041-2070) horizons, aligning with current municipal planning cycles. Furthermore, a long-term horizon (2071-2100) was adopted for specific hazards (such as extreme precipitation and sea-level rise) to evaluate the end-of-life resilience of major built assets and urban layouts. Socio-economic baselines (e.g., population distribution) were kept static based on the most recent census data to isolate and evaluate the pure climate signal on the territory.

2.3. Regionalized Risk Analysis

2.3.1. Systematic Comparison of Phase 1 and Phase 2 Assessments

The transition from Phase 1 to Phase 2 was marked by a significant shift from European/national-scale datasets to localized, high-resolution inputs. While Phase 1 relied on coarse European datasets (e.g., LUISA, 90 m MERIT DEM, regional EURO-CORDEX grids) to identify baseline climate hazard tendencies across broad administrative boundaries, Phase 2 integrates fine-grained national and municipal vector datasets (COS 2025 land use, national cadastral layers, BGRI census sub-sections, and OpenStreetMap building footprints).

This integration primary enhances the spatial accuracy of exposure and vulnerability components. Downscaling these layers into a unified 50 m computational grid cell framework allows the analysis to capture micro-scale exposure differences, such as structure-level building damage, specific crop-plot economic losses, and neighborhood-level social vulnerability, that were invisible in Phase 1 results.

Table 2-2 below summarizes the key data, resolution, and methodological refinements across all five workflows, detailing how these improvements directly altered risk outputs and hotspot identification for the Aveiro region.

Table 2-2 Systematic comparison of Phase 1 and Phase 2 assessments across five workflows.

Workflow	*Phase 1 Baseline (Screening)*	*Phase 2 Refinement (Localized)*	*Key Output & Hotspot Shift*
Wildfire	NUTS II scale; EFFIS categories & broad land cover	50m grid; COS 2025, OSM fuels, slope/aspect, and BUPI land registry	Shifted focus from vast forests to Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) zones with fire travel times >15 min
Floods (Fluvial/Coastal)	Regional screening; 90m DEM, 100m LUISA, single GDP figure	Full CIRA coverage; local DEM, COS 2025, OSM buildings, and INE cadastral exposure	Corrected coastal over-estimations; enabled structure-level damage and critical infrastructure zooming
Heavy Rainfall	12km EURO-CORDEX grid; daily/sub-daily climate trends	50m grid; CHELSA 70mm/24h storms, BGRI census, and soil imperviousness	Mapped flash-flood hotspots where >80% soil sealing overlaps with urban drainage bottlenecks
Agricultural Drought	Regional SPEI and coarse soil water capacity (GAEZ/MapSPAM)	50m grid; COS 2025 crop plots and local soil/terrain	Replaced yield percentages with direct crop revenue loss (€); isolated rainfed plot vulnerabilities
Urban Heatwaves	1 central urban point per municipality; aggregated demographics	50m grid; EuroHEAT, LST surface temperatures, and BGRI census sub-sections	Replaced municipal averages with 50m cell microclimate mapping to isolate urban heat islands

To ensure scientific transparency and clarify the scope of model updates between Phase 1 and Phase 2, a clear distinction must be drawn between workflows that achieved enhanced physical hazard modeling and those that refined exposure and vulnerability. In the Fluvial and Coastal Flood workflow, hazard modeling was directly improved by integrating high-accuracy local elevation datasets (MERIT DEM combined with local bathymetry/topography) and localized hydraulic boundary conditions. Similarly, the Wildfire hazard module was enhanced by recalculating Fire Weather Index (FWI) outputs on a 50m grid using high-resolution local slope and aspect metrics.

For Heavy Rainfall, Agricultural Drought, and Urban Heatwaves, the primary climate hazard projections rely on downscaled regional climate products (EURO-CORDEX, and CHELSA). The primary advance in Phase 2 for these hazards lies in the micro-scale regionalization of exposure and vulnerability through fine-grained vector datasets, including COS 2025 land use, plot-level crop distributions, BGRI 2021 census subsections, and OpenStreetMap building footprints.

The adoption of a uniform 50m x 50m computational grid cell across all workflows provides a standardized spatial framework for integrating multi-source spatial data (such as raster climate projections, vector land-use polygons, building footprints, and census sub-sections). Crucially, rasterizing or interpolating regional climate hazard layers to a 50m resolution does not increase the underlying physical accuracy or predictive precision of the climate physics models. Instead, this spatial resolution prevents aggregation errors during GIS overlay operations and allows fine-grained exposure assets (such as individual structures, transport links, or agricultural plots) to be evaluated consistently against localized environmental gradients (e.g., slope, soil imperviousness, and distance to water bodies).

Furthermore, the updates introduced in Phase 2 represent a qualitative and spatial refinement of risk patterns rather than a quantitative re-estimation of aggregate economic or demographic totals. Because primary hazard inputs originate from macro-scale European and global datasets, spatial downscaling to a 50 m grid framework inherently introduces interpolation uncertainties without generating new physical resolution at the hazard level. Attempting to derive absolute aggregate figures across downscaled grids risks compounding these underlying data errors. Consequently, the value of Phase 2 lies in spatial contextualization and relative hotspot prioritization. By intersecting downscaled hazard trends with fine-grained local exposure layers (such as COS 2025 land use and OpenStreetMap building footprints), the assessment pinpoints where relative risk is concentrated, such as Wildland-Urban Interface corridors, highly impervious urban blocks, and rainfed agricultural plots, providing municipal planners with actionable relative rankings to prioritize local adaptation actions without over-interpreting downscaled climate variables.

2.3.2. Floods – finetuning to local context

2.3.2.1. River Floods

Grounded in the standard CLIMAAX River Flood framework, the hazard analysis utilized high-resolution hazard maps provided by the Joint Research Center (JRC). The spatial fidelity of the baseline workflow was significantly improved by incorporating COS 2025 vector layers to represent localized land-use distributions, while the Global Administrative Areas (GADM) layers were implemented to define municipal administrative boundaries across the study area.

Table 2-3 Data overview for river floods workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
Joint Research Center (JRC) flood map dataset	Geographic Information Reference Database (BGRI21) (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2021)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Spatial distribution analysis of projected river flood economic damage (Millions of €/cell) comparing RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emissions scenarios for 2030, 2050, and 2080
	Directorate-General for Transport (DGT) - Road Network (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Global Administrative Areas (GADM) (GADM, 2026)	
	Buildings	LUISA Basemap 2018	

2.3.2.1.1. Risk assessment

Following the hazard assessment — which leveraged COS and GADM datasets to refine the spatial representation of the study area—exposure and risk maps were developed as a core component of the risk assessment. In this phase, localized population statistics (BGRI), road networks, and building footprints were integrated to quantify asset and community exposure to river flooding. These layers directly informed the calculation of the Damage Magnitude (Figure 2-2) across RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 pathways for the 2030, 2050, and 2080 time horizons. This methodology yielded an integrated asset exposure dataset that was subsequently synthesized into a Composite Climate Risk Score, successfully isolating high-risk areas concentrated around the Aveiro Lagoon.




Figure 2-2 Spatial distribution analysis of projected river flood economic damage (Millions of €/cell) comparing RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 emissions scenarios for 2030, 2050, and 2080.

2.3.2.2. Coastal Floods

Following the same methodological approach, the coastal flood hazard analysis adapted the standard CLIMAAX framework using high-resolution JRC mapping data. This workflow mirrored the river flood enhancements by integrating COS 2025 vector layers and GADM administrative datasets, ensuring that localized land-use patterns and municipal boundaries were captured with identical spatial fidelity.

Table 2-4 Data overview for coastal floods workflow

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
Global Flood Maps dataset	Digital Elevation Model (MERIT-DEM) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Coastal flood damages for extreme sea water level scenarios
		LUISA Basemap 2018	Coastal flood impact and context for year 2050
		Global Administrative Areas (GADM) (GADM, 2026)	

2.3.2.2.1. Risk assessment

The risk assessment framework maintained its alignment with the standard CLIMAAX workflow, but was significantly enhanced by integrating updated Maximum Economic Exposure Values for Portugal, derived from Statistics Portugal (INE) (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2023). By combining these baseline economic metrics with COS 2025 and GADM layers, the spatial

representation of vulnerable assets was highly refined. The resulting output, exemplified in Figure 2-3, illustrates the projected localized impacts of coastal flooding for the 2050 time horizon.




Figure 2-3 Composite panel displaying coastal flood damage, inundation depth, and associated land use classification for a 1-in-100-year return period event in the year 2050.

2.3.2.3. Flood Building Damage and Population Exposed

Similarly, the hazard analyses for Flood Building Damage and Population Exposed adapted the standard CLIMAAX framework using high-resolution JRC mapping data. This workflow mirrored the river flood enhancements by integrating COS 2025 vector layers and GADM administrative datasets, ensuring that localized land-use patterns, structural assets, and municipal boundaries were captured with identical spatial fidelity.

Table 2-5 Data overview for flood building damage and population exposed workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
Joint Research Centre (JRC) CEMS-EFAS Flood Hazard dataset	Population Demographics, Geographic Information Reference Database 2021 (BGRI 2021)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	River Flood Maps for 10-50-100-500 year return periods;
	Open Street Maps (OSM) Building data (OSM, 2023)	Global Administrative Areas (GADM) (GADM, 2026)	Economic Damage to Buildings based on Mean Flood Depths
			Critical Infrastructure Exposure to River Floods
			Expected annual population exposed; Expected annual population displaced

2.3.2.3.1. Risk assessment

The risk assessment for this workflow underwent the most significant adaptations from the original foundation, which was initially restricted to a small, isolated pilot site. In this expanded iteration, the

entire region of Aveiro was analyzed by scaling up the regional datasets for population, building footprints, and road networks using a processing pipeline similar to the baseline methodology.

However, expanding the geographical scale introduced a distinct analytical challenge: while the original small-scale workflow made it easy to isolate damage dynamics down to individual structures, these granular details are naturally lost when visualizing an entire region at once. To bridge this gap, a new spatial zooming function was implemented directly into the codebase. This tool allows users to input specific coordinates to instantly magnify a desired micro-location. As a result, the updated workflow successfully processes macro-regional data across the Aveiro district without sacrificing the ability to conduct high-fidelity, building-level impact analyses. Figure 2-4b) shows an example of the magnifying feature (that can still be augmented), which allows a more detailed analysis of the results of the whole region, seen in Figure 2-4 a). The new improvements also increased the number of building classifications, allowing space in the code for more user input.




Figure 2-4 Critical infrastructure exposure map showing specific asset vulnerabilities (hospitals, schools, power stations, etc.) overlaid on a 10-year return period river flood hazard zone (a); High-exposure validation inset map checking specific vulnerable critical cluster locations for a 500-year return period flood scenario (b).

2.3.3. Heavy Rainfall – finetuning to local context

The Extreme Precipitation workflow underwent the most significant structural adaptations due to severe localized data constraints. Because it had the least amount of available data of all the workflows, and, per stakeholder's feedback, was not able to represent the reality the is present in the region, its technical execution was purposedly pivoted to an exploratory approach that departed substantially from both the other hazard modules and the default datasets provided in the original framework baseline.

Table 2-6 Data overview for heavy rainfall workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
CHELSA (Karger et al., 2017)	Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Baseline Return Period for 70mm/24h Storms. Pure 1km Matrix — (1981-2011); Future Return Period for 70mm/24h Storms. Pure 1km Matrix — (2071-2100);
	DGT - Road Network (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Global Administrative Areas (GADM) (GADM, 2026)	Terrain Analysis Matrix; Surface Imperviousness; Topographic Wetness Index; Population Exposure and Socio-Economic Hotspots; Building Structural Vulnerability; Natural Water Retention Capacity; Critical Road Network Infrastructure Exposure
	Population Demographics, Geographic Information Reference Database 2021 (BGRI 2021)		Composite Vulnerability Index; Multi-Dimensional Pareto Analysis highlighting peak vulnerability areas.
	Open Street Maps (OSM) Building data (OSM, 2023)		

2.3.3.1. Risk assessment

This workflow utilized the CHELSA dataset as its foundational climate input. Daily precipitation data was analyzed for the historical baseline period (1981–2011), while monthly projections were implemented for the future horizon (2071–2100) using the MPI-ESM1-2-HR earth system model under the high-emission SSP5-8.5 scenario (Riahi et al., 2017). These datasets were leveraged to calculate the baseline return period for a 70mm/24h storm event—a threshold specifically calibrated to historical municipal flood logs as the critical tipping point for urban drainage failure in the Aveiro Region. Because the variance between historical and future precipitation frequencies was negligible (less than 5%) (Figure 2-5), subsequent phases were processed exclusively using the future climate projection dataset.

Due to the coarse spatial resolution of the raw climate input data, this methodology was explicitly paired with a fine-grained, 6-layer regional vulnerability pipeline to better capture local urban runoff dynamics. To evaluate exposure beyond standard climate grids, an alternative, experimental approach was implemented: combining a weighted linear combination with a multi-dimensional Pareto Front optimization across six spatial layers (soil imperviousness from COS 2025, Topographic Wetness Index, SERI demographic vulnerability, OSM building footprints, natural retention capacity, and critical road exposure). This experimental framework prevents severe localized vulnerabilities, such as high soil sealing, from being artificially diluted by adjacent low-risk variables, delivering high-resolution spatial decision support despite the underlying climate data constraints.




Figure 2-5 Side-by-side comparison of baseline vs. projected return periods (2071-2100) for intense 70mm/24h extreme precipitation events under the SSP5-8.5 climate scenario.

The remaining layers of the framework developed an integrated, six-dimensional vulnerability modeling pipeline. This network incorporated spatial indicators for Surface Imperviousness, the Topographic Wetness Index (TWI), Socio-Economic Vulnerability (SERI Index) derived from local population demographics and building census records, Structural Asset Exposure, Natural Retention Capacity, and Critical Road Infrastructure Exposure. Once normalized, these six diagnostic layers were synthesized using two distinct analytical methods to evaluate localized risk: i) a weighted linear combination (pondered aggregation) of the parameters, and ii) a multi-criteria Pareto frontier analysis (Figure 2-6).




Figure 2-6 Comparison of climate risk assessment aggregation methods, showing an Advanced Composite Vulnerability Index map (Method A) alongside a multi-dimensional Pareto Front hotspot map (Method B).

2.3.4. Heatwaves – finetuning to local context

Building on the Phase 1 preliminary screening, the heatwave risk assessment for Phase 2 has been significantly refined through a transition to a high-resolution spatial framework. The approach shifted from the municipality-level analysis used in Phase 1 to a spatially explicit 50m grid analysis, enabling the identification of localized urban heat island (UHI) effects and precise vulnerability hotspots.

The EuroHEAT methodology was applied using downscaled temperature projections from EURO-CORDEX under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 (Jacob et al., 2014). Crucially, the exposure and vulnerability layers were recalibrated by integrating the COS 2025, which significantly alters the risk profile compared to the coarse, aggregated data used in the previous phase.

Table 2-7 Data overview for heatwaves workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Risk output*
Health-related dataset from EuroHEAT: European and national heat spells (1986–2085, RCP4.5 and RCP8.5)	Geographic Information Reference Database (BGRI21) (Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2021)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Magnitude of the potential projected increase of the heatwave occurrence
EURO-CORDEX temperature projections (short and mid-term; RCP4.5 and RCP8.5)			Classified regions by vulnerable population density
			Potential projected increase of the heatwave risk to vulnerable population groups

2.3.4.1. Risk assessment

The high-resolution 50m grid permits the pinpointing of exact neighborhoods and industrial zones where the combination of thermal stress and limited adaptive capacity (e.g., lack of green corridors, dense soil sealing) creates the highest risk. The assessment confirms that while coastal areas benefit from maritime thermal regulation, the inland municipalities - specifically Águeda, Anadia, and Sever do Vouga - exhibit severe localized hotspots. The integration of COS data revealed that areas previously classified as "moderate risk" in Phase 1 are, in fact, highly vulnerable due to their specific surface coverage and lack of shading infrastructure, highlighting the necessity for targeted urban greening interventions in these specific 50m cells (Figure 2-7, Figure 2-8, and Figure 2-9).




Figure 2-7 Magnitude of the potential projected increase of the heatwave occurrence, from 1 to 10 (1-very low change, 10-very high change), for the short- (2016-2045) and mid-term (2046-2075) future, under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5.




Figure 2-8 Classified regions by vulnerable population density.




Figure 2-9 Potential projected increase of the heatwave risk to vulnerable population groups, for the short- (2016-2045) and mid-term (2046-2075) future, under RCP4.5 and RCP8.5.

2.3.5. Drought – finetuning to local context

The agricultural drought workflow has been completely overhauled for Phase 2. By adopting the uniform 50m grid framework used across all CLIMAAX4CIRA workflows, agricultural vulnerability has been successfully aligned with local hydrological and soil characteristics. The key

methodological advancement is the integration of the COS 2025 dataset, which provides the spatial granularity needed to separate distinct agricultural land uses that were previously generalized in Phase 1.

Table 2-8 Data overview for agricultural drought workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
EURO-CORDEX EUR-11 climate projections (MPI-SMHI) for future periods (2036–2065) under RCP8.5 scenario	Soil available water capacity	Crop distribution and economic value data (e.g., Global Agro-Ecological Zones (GAEZ), MapSPAM repository)	Revenue loss from precipitation deficit, in thousand euros
Precipitation deficit leading to yield loss in key regional crops	Share of cropland with irrigation systems	Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	
	Thermal climate zone		
	Elevation		

2.3.5.1. Risk assessment

By mapping the drought hazard onto the 50m COS grid, it has been identified that revenue loss is not uniformly distributed across the region, but highly concentrated in specific agricultural clusters. The analysis indicates that potato and rice crops face critical risks, exacerbated by projected precipitation deficits under RCP8.5. Unlike the Phase 1 results, which provided a general overview of agricultural impact, the Phase 2 assessment using COS 2025 identifies specific vineyard plots in the Bairrada region that, due to high-value crop type, exhibit higher economic vulnerability than surrounding areas. This precision enables the identification of specific agricultural zones where immediate investment in drought-tolerant varieties and improved irrigation efficiency will yield the highest return on adaptation efforts (Figure 2-10).




Figure 2-10 Maize (a), beans (b), potato (c), rice (d) and (e) wine revenue loss from precipitation deficit, for the period 2036-2065, considering the RCP8.5 scenario.

2.3.6. Fire – finetuning to local context

The Wildfire workflow was completely overhauled from its original foundation. In this updated iteration, the Fire Weather Index (FWI) was generated within the hazard module and downscaled onto a high-resolution 50m grid. In the risk module, this index was integrated alongside COS 2025 and other localized datasets, culminating in the development of a comprehensive composite indicator that simultaneously accounts for hazard, vulnerability, and exposure dynamics.

Table 2-9 Data overview for fire workflow.

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
Copernicus Climate Data Store (Copernicus CDS, n.d.)	Digital Elevation Model (DEM) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Portuguese Land use Dataset 2025 (COS 2025) (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Combustibility Index; Danger Index; Topographical Index;

Hazard data	*Vulnerability data*	*Exposure data*	*Impact metrics/Risk output*
Open Street Maps (OSM) - Fuel Types (OSM, 2023)	DGT – Road Network (Direção Geral do Território, 2026)	Global Administrative Areas (GADM) (GADM, 2026)	Human Vulnerability Index; Parcel Fragmentation Index; Economic Vulnerability Index;
	Open Street Maps (OSM) Building data (OSM, 2023)		Fire Department Access Time
	Portuguese national land registry (BUPI) (Portugal. Estrutura de Missão eBUPi, n.d.)		Pareto Analysis highlighting highest risk locations

2.3.6.1. Risk assessment

For the wildfire risk assessment, a comprehensive composite index was engineered by systematically combining hazard, exposure, and vulnerability layers. This multi-criteria framework was structured as follows:

- Hazard Characterization: Synthesized by combining the downscaled FWI, historical burned area footprints (2-11.d), and three newly calculated sub-indexes: Combustibility (2-11.a), Topography (2-11.c), and Overall Danger (2-11.b) (Figure 2-11).
- Exposure Mapping: Calculated via an Ignition Susceptibility Index, which maps the proximity and density of exposed road networks and building footprints.
- Vulnerability Assessment: Evaluated using a three-pronged approach comprising a socio-economic emergency risk index (SERI) derived from population demographics, a Parcel Fragmentation Index, and an Economic Vulnerability Index based on high-risk structural assets.




Figure 2-11 Normalized fire baseline risk matrices for the Aveiro region mapping Combustibility Index (a), Danger Index via FWI classes (b), Topographical Index (c), and Normalized Burn Area historical footprints (d).

To incorporate emergency response capacity, the cumulative vulnerability index was divided by an Access Time Factor, which accounts for the localized travel times required for fire departments to reach an ignition site (Figure 2-12).




Figure 2-12 Regional multiplier map displaying classified fire department access factors aggregated across individual municipalities.

All underlying spatial datasets were aggregated onto a uniform 50m grid cell framework aligned with COS 25. Finally, in accordance with the baseline CLIMAAX framework, this integrated Fire Risk Indicator was synthesized and mapped using a Pareto Analysis configuration (Figure 2-13).




Figure 2-13 Advanced risk profiling map showing optimized Pareto Front indicators highlighting highest-risk (red) and lowest-risk (green) priority asset locations overlaid across a regional capacity backdrop.

2.4. Key Risk Assessment Findings

2.4.1. Mode of engagement for participation

The engagement process for the risk evaluation phase built upon the collaborative framework established in section 2.1.5, focusing specifically on transitioning from hazard identification to the

collective interpretation of risk severity and urgency. During the regional workshop held on May 28, 2026, the engagement mode centred on a structured validation exercise in which participants were divided into four mixed groups, bringing together stakeholders from different sectors, including municipal technical services, civil protection, infrastructure management and other regional entities. Each group interacted directly with the synthesized risk maps and discussed the identified risks based on the structured activity sheets.

The participation of municipal civil protection officers was particularly relevant to the interpretation of vulnerability and urgency, given their operational knowledge of local territories, emergency response requirements and populations that may be particularly vulnerable or isolated during extreme events.

Rather than a top-down presentation, the session functioned as a participatory review where the technical outputs were scrutinized against the participants' empirical operational experience. For each climate risk, participants were asked to assess whether the results reflected their local experience, identify the main consequences and affected populations or assets, identify limitations and gaps in the assessment, and discuss how the results could be used in planning and risk management. This provided a structured basis for comparing the modelled results with local and operational knowledge. The feedback included specific observations for individual hazards. For example, stakeholders highlighted the importance of the urban rural interface for wildfire risk, identified vineyards and maize as relevant agricultural activities that were not sufficiently represented in the agricultural drought assessment, and highlighted the concentration of heat related vulnerability in densely populated urban areas. For fluvial flooding, participants emphasized the relevance of smaller watercourses and identified specific low-lying areas affected by flooding. For coastal flooding, the need to consider coastal erosion and overtopping was also identified. The stakeholder engagement activity sheets, together with the consolidated summaries of the feedback provided by the four stakeholder groups, were subsequently shared with participants for validation and correction, and are available in the project's Communication Materials archive on Zenodo.

The feedback gathered during this exercise was used to review the interpretation of identified hotspots and the relative prioritisation of risks, particularly where local operational knowledge indicated conditions not fully captured by the spatial datasets. Stakeholder input was therefore used as a qualitative validation and interpretation layer rather than as a formal recalibration of the underlying hazard models or quantitative risk scores. This distinction is important, as the workshop primarily supported the contextualisation and prioritisation of the modelled results rather than their statistical validation.

The feedback gathered during this exercise also helped identify limitations and information gaps in the assessment, including the need for greater municipal scale detail, improved map readability, consideration of economic impacts and tourism, and the inclusion of additional processes such as wind effects, tidal influences, coastal erosion and overtopping. Some differences between the modelled results and local perception were also reported, particularly for intense rainfall, providing an important indication of where the results required further contextual interpretation. By involving stakeholders in this interpretive process, the project complemented the modelled climate information with local and operational knowledge relevant to the interpretation of vulnerability, exposure and the practical implications of the identified risks for local planning and risk management.

2.4.2. Gather output from Risk Analysis step

Risk evaluation utilized the main outputs generated in the Phase 2 Risk Analysis for the five priority hazards. This included the refined localized results and the new high-resolution risk indicators presented in Section 2.3, encompassing the primary hazard, exposure, vulnerability, and composite risk maps. These key results were compiled and used in the evaluation dashboard to support the structured scoring of severity, urgency, and resilience capacity.

2.4.3. Assess Severity

Severity was assessed for current (C) and future (F) conditions by cross-referencing the Phase 2 modelled hazard outputs with the empirical feedback gathered during the regional stakeholder workshop (May 28, 2026) and the strategic vulnerabilities identified in official regional and local planning instruments (e.g., PROT Centro, EIDT.RA 2030, and Municipal Climate Action Plans).

- **River Floods - current severity: moderate; future severity: substantial.** While major waterways present a known risk, stakeholders emphasized that the most pressing threat with the poorest current management is associated with flash flooding in small waterlines.
- **Coastal Floods - current severity: substantial; future severity: critical.** The mapping accurately reflects the chronic vulnerabilities of the region's estuarine system, particularly the *Baixo Vouga Lagunar* and the *Ria de Aveiro*. Regional and local plans corroborate that the coastal stretch of the region faces some of the most intense erosive processes in Europe, particularly in areas like Esmoriz, Torreira, and Costa Nova. The potential cascading effects include the degradation of natural habitats and the destruction of infrastructure supporting the blue economy and tourism.
- **Heavy Rainfall - current severity: moderate; future severity: substantial.** The evaluation revealed a divergence between macro-models and local perception regarding interior municipalities, where stakeholders experience worsening drought rather than increased rainfall. Nevertheless, where it occurs, the risk remains a substantial concern due to the high likelihood of infrastructure collapse and road blockages. Local master plans and climate strategies point to the chronic issue of undersized municipal drainage systems struggling against increasing soil sealing, leading to severe localized urban flooding.
- **Heatwaves - current severity: limited; future severity: moderate.** The region's coastal geography and the mitigating effect of maritime breezes keep current impacts relatively contained compared to the deep interior. Stakeholders validated that extreme heat risk primarily falls on denser urban centres due to high population density and a marked deficit of green spaces, which exacerbate pathologies among vulnerable, isolated populations. However, the overall systemic impact on the region's economy and infrastructure remains moderate.
- **Drought - current severity: moderate; future severity: substantial.** The economic impacts of drought are tangible across the region's socio-economic fabric, leading to forced crop alterations, a reduction in horticultural zones, and income losses spanning agriculture, forestry, and nature-based tourism. Regional planning documents stress that drought

impacts the ecological sustainability and water reserves of both the coastal and interior transition zones. This severity is pronounced given the projected economic losses for critical regional crops, including viticulture in the Bairrada demarcated region, which serves as a vital economic driver.

- **Fire - current severity: substantial; future severity: critical.** While stakeholders noted some spatial variations between the models and historical fire paths, the overall severity is perceived as critical. The immediate impacts of wildfires generate profound apprehension, particularly due to the extreme risk at the wildland-urban interface, which the PROT Centro identifies as the primary zone for property destruction and potential loss of life. The severity is aggravated by extensive monoculture forest areas and land abandonment, threatening the highly specialized agroforestry sector.

2.4.4. Assess Urgency

Urgency was assessed by analyzing whether hazards are sudden events or slow-onset processes, their projected near-term exacerbation, and the immediate operational gaps identified by stakeholders. This assessment was cross-referenced with regional and local planning instruments, (e.g., PROT Centro, EIDT.RA 2030, and Municipal Climate Action Plans).

- **River Floods - urgency: more action needed.** The sudden overflow of rivers and small waterlines creates imminent threats to local communities and basic infrastructure. To prevent these impacts, stakeholders and local plans demand specific structural interventions, notably the construction of water retention basins and flow regulation mechanisms. Adapting the vast network of small waterlines will require multi-year planning.
- **Coastal Floods - urgency: immediate action needed.** While sea-level rise is a slow-onset phenomenon, its compounding effect with spring tides creates immediate and devastating vulnerabilities, particularly along the coastal front and the delicate *Baixo Vouga Lagunar* system.
- **Heavy Rainfall - urgency: more action needed.** Extreme rainfall events are sudden and cause disruption. There is a need to update municipal urban planning to restrict and reverse soil sealing, actively alleviating the pressure on currently undersized municipal drainage networks before further infrastructure collapses occur. Overhauling urban drainage is a mid-term strategic necessity.
- **Heatwaves - urgency: watching brief.** Heatwaves are acute events projected to gradually increase in frequency. Given the region's coastal climate, conditions are relatively stable but require monitoring. Actions to mitigate urban heat—such as establishing green corridors and cooling shelters—are being planned proactively but do not constitute an immediate existential crisis requiring overnight intervention.
- **Drought - urgency: more action needed.** Drought is a slow-onset process, but its cumulative effects are already forcing crop alterations and causing severe economic losses. The required interventions—such as systemic shifts toward sustainable agricultural practices, forest management, and large-scale water efficiency improvements—require multi-year strategic planning and continuous investment.

- **Fire - urgency: immediate action needed.** Although wildfires are event-driven, the baseline conditions that fuel them are rapidly worsening. The direct and immediate impacts of wildfires on communities raise the greatest apprehension, given the rapid progression of these fires aggravated by moisture deficits and a lack of forest management. Stakeholders emphasized that there is an immediate, pressing need to execute a comprehensive forest land registry to enforce mandatory property cleaning and fuel management.

2.4.5. Understand Resilience Capacity

Resilience capacity was assessed by evaluating the region's existing institutional, financial, and physical frameworks to respond to climate risks. Overall, the Aveiro Region benefits from a robust governance model driven by over 30 years of intermunicipal cooperation and guided by the Integrated Strategy for Territorial Development of the Aveiro Region (EIDT.RA 2030). This NUTS III scale provides a substantial advantage in coordinating policies, accessing European funds (e.g., ITI - Integrated Territorial Investments), and implementing regional adaptation measures

- **River Floods - resilience capacity: medium.** Physical capacity remains challenged by aging local infrastructure and the complexity of managing the thousands of small waterlines that intersect urban areas without adequate maintenance.
- **Coastal Floods - resilience capacity: substantial.** The region has a strong institutional and financial foundation for managing coastal risks, spearheaded by large-scale intermunicipal initiatives such as the *Polis Litoral Ria de Aveiro*. The EIDT.RA 2030 explicitly allocates significant investments towards the integrated management of the *Ria de Aveiro* and coastal defence.
- **Heavy rainfall - resilience capacity: medium.** While the region has high institutional capacity to draft updated spatial plans (e.g., PDM revisions and Municipal Climate Action Plans that prioritize sustainable urban drainage and permeable surfaces), the physical capacity is currently strained. The historical undersizing of municipal drainage systems and the extensive soil impermeabilization in coastal and central urban areas represent significant weak spots that require massive structural overhauls.
- **Heatwaves - resilience capacity: substantial.** Social capacity is strong, supported by regional health networks and inter-institutional collaboration programs addressing the aging population. Municipalities are proactively planning green infrastructure and shading in public spaces.
- **Drought - resilience capacity: medium.** The region possesses organizational capacity in the agricultural sector, supported by initiatives like the *Projeto Agrícola e Ambiental do Baixo Vouga Lagunar* and strategic funding aligned with the Green and Blue Economy. There is a concerted push towards circular economy practices and water reuse. The main weak spots are the heavy economic reliance on climate-sensitive crops (such as viticulture) and the disparity in water availability between the coastal lagoon areas and the more arid interior municipalities.
- **Fire - resilience capacity: low.** Despite an established regional civil protection structure and alignment with the National Integrated Rural Fire Management System (PRA Centro), the

region's operational capacity to prevent fires is severely hampered by structural land issues. The predominant fragmented property ownership, high levels of land abandonment, and the absence of a complete forest land registry make it exceedingly difficult to enforce fuel management and create resilient forest mosaics.

2.4.6. Decide on Risk Priority

Risk priority was assigned by integrating the three evaluation dimensions—severity, urgency, and resilience capacity. The integration creates a realistic prioritization hierarchy that distinguishes between existential, immediate threats and those that are manageable or require longer-term adaptation. High severity, high urgency, and low capacity positively contribute to a higher risk prioritization, whereas lower severity, lower urgency, and higher capacity result in a lower risk prioritization.

Based on this multi-dimensional assessment, the final risk prioritization for the Aveiro Region is established as follows, and summarized in Figure 2-14:

- **Fire - Priority: Very High.** This represents the most critical vulnerability for the region. The combination of critical future severity, immediate urgency for action at the wildland-urban interface, and a distinctly low resilience capacity driven by structural land management deficits elevates this risk to the absolute maximum priority.
- **Coastal Floods - Priority: High.** Driven by critical future severity and immediate urgency regarding estuarine erosive processes. However, its position is positively modulated by the region's substantial resilience capacity, supported by robust intermunicipal frameworks like the *Polis Litoral*.
- **River Floods - Priority: Moderate.** The imminent threat of flash floods in small waterlines requires more action. With moderate current severity projecting to substantial and constrained by a medium physical resilience capacity, it represents a significant but manageable priority.
- **Heavy Rainfall - Priority: Moderate.** Requiring spatial planning interventions to reverse soil sealing, the risk projects to substantial severity. Given the medium capacity to systematically overhaul urban drainage, it is prioritized as a moderate risk requiring steady, mid-term investment.
- **Drought - Priority: Moderate.** Presenting substantial future severity for the primary sector, its slow-onset nature (classified as requiring more action) and the existence of medium adaptive capacity in agricultural and economic frameworks place it firmly in the moderate prioritization tier.
- **Heatwaves - Priority: Low.** Benefiting from the region's coastal climate, the severity is relatively limited to moderate. Combined with a watching brief urgency and substantial resilience capacity within the social and health sectors, this risk is prioritized as low, signifying that current mitigation trajectories are largely sufficient.

Risk Workflow	Severity		Urgency	Capacity	Risk Priority
	C	F		Resilience/ CRM	
River flooding					Moderate
Coastal flooding					High
Heavy rainfall					Moderate
Heatwaves					Low
Drought					Moderate
Fire					Very High
Snow					
Wind					



Figure 2-14 Key Risk Assessment.

2.5. Monitoring and Evaluation

The phase 2 of the CRA provided critical insights into both technical modelling and regional governance capacity within the Aveiro region. The process demonstrated that while macro-scale models offer a necessary baseline, they frequently overlook hyper-local vulnerabilities, such as flash flooding in small waterlines or the specific economic exposure of viniculture in the Bairrada region. Reconciling these local empirical observations with the rigid data thresholds of macro-models represented the primary difficulty encountered, requiring iterative adjustments to ensure the final prioritization remained grounded in the region's specific territorial reality.

Stakeholders acted as co-authors throughout the risk evaluation process, transitioning from observers to active validators. This engagement ensured the "social construction of risk," confirming that technical data serves its purpose only when it reflects immediate territorial concerns; consequently, the forest land registry was identified as the single most urgent policy outcome. Learning is institutionalized through the integration of the evaluation dashboard into the CIRA governance structure. By embedding this assessment within the EIDT.RA 2030 strategy, risk outcomes are no longer viewed as static, but as evidence subject to continuous reassessment during future planning cycles.

Regarding data availability, while robust hazard data were secured, a critical need exists for higher-resolution soil sealing data and a completed national forest land registry to improve precision. Future iterations require more granular socio-economic data at the parish level to better quantify the vulnerability of isolated elderly populations. The communication strategy follows a multi-tiered approach: a technical synthesis for municipal planning departments and a simplified, interactive summary for local citizens to foster public awareness and engagement. The "Key Risk Assessment Dashboard" functions as the primary monitoring system, enabling the tracking of hazard severity shifts and the progress of Climate Risk Management (CRM) measures against identified priorities.

The participatory workshop proved to be the most effective element of the process in bridging technical and local knowledge Resource use was highly efficient, bolstered by existing intermunicipal cooperation, which accelerated stakeholder alignment. This institutional efficiency positively impacted the process by providing a solid evidentiary basis for future funding applications. Overall, the CRA process significantly improved institutional capacity, facilitating the transition from a fragmented view of hazards to a unified understanding of systemic risk in the Aveiro Region.

2.6. Work plan Phase 3

The final phase of the project (M16–M22) facilitates the transition from climate risk assessment to action-oriented planning, with the core objective of operationalizing the Key Risk Assessment findings into concrete resilience strategies for the Aveiro Region. This phase shifts focus from characterizing the nature and drivers of regional risks to determining the most effective strategies for mitigation and adaptation.

The primary activity involves the systematic integration of priority risks into regional and local planning instruments, including the EIDT.RA 2030 strategy and municipal master plans. Given the Very High priority assigned to Fire and the High priority assigned to Coastal Floods, these hazards serve as the primary drivers for this phase. Integration efforts will prioritize the deployment of the forest land registry and fuel management enforcement for Fire, and the alignment of coastal spatial planning with the region's erosive process management, particularly leveraging established frameworks such as the Polis Litoral. For Moderate priority risks, such as River Floods, Heavy Rainfall, and Drought, the work plan integrates urban drainage updates and agricultural sector strategies to ensure that all priority hazards are addressed proportionally to their urgency and severity. This approach provides an evidence-based justification for climate-resilient investment, embedding spatially explicit risk patterns into strategic planning and directing public funding toward high-priority areas.

To translate these findings into practice, a series of strategy-focused discussions will be conducted with key institutional stakeholders, including civil protection authorities, municipal technical departments, and agricultural associations. These sessions facilitate the co-design of feasible, high-impact adaptation measures, such as defining operational thresholds for emergency early warnings or designing decentralized water retention basins to manage flash floods in small waterlines. The outputs will include tailored policy-oriented products, such as recommendation documents for strategic integration and guidance for optimizing local intervention capacity. Concurrently, project results will be consolidated through the regional Climate Hub, an interactive platform designed to ensure transparent access to risk maps and data for all regional actors, thereby sustaining the project's legacy beyond its formal conclusion.

Detailed engineering designs for large-scale gray infrastructure and comprehensive, long-term economic cost-benefit analyses for major engineering works fall outside the scope of this phase, as these activities necessitate specialized engineering and investment-grade planning beyond the current mandate. The current work plan instead concentrates on establishing robust planning and governance foundations—such as policy alignment and operational preparedness guidance—that serve as essential prerequisites for such future implementations. By bridging the gap between technical risk identification and strategic policy development, this final phase establishes a sustainable mechanism for climate adaptation in the Aveiro Region, ensuring that adaptation

measures are developed directly in response to the specific spatial and systemic vulnerabilities quantified in the Key Risk Assessment.

3. Conclusions Phase 2- Climate risk assessment

The second phase of the Climate Risk Assessment (CRA) for the Aveiro Region marks a significant evolution in the territory's approach to climate resilience. Building upon the initial risk screening performed in Phase 1, this phase successfully transitioned from a broad, indicative hazard overview to a precise, decision-oriented evidence base. The core achievement of this project stage was the systematic regionalization of the CLIMAAX workflows, which replaced generalized European-scale proxies with high-resolution regional datasets. This refinement allowed the project to move beyond abstract hazard mapping, resulting in a nuanced understanding of risk that reflects the unique physical and socio-economic geography of the region—ranging from the specific agricultural vulnerabilities of the Bairrada viticulture to the complex land-management issues within the forest landscape.

The key findings establish a robust risk prioritization framework that clarifies which hazards demand immediate intervention and which can be managed through longer-term, structural policy adjustments. Wildfire and Coastal flooding were identified as Very High priority risks, reflecting a combination of critical future severity and immediate urgency. These hazards represent the most significant threats to the region's stability, requiring immediate integration into emergency preparedness and spatial planning frameworks. A second tier of risks, comprising River flooding, Heavy rainfall, and Drought, were classified as High priority, highlighting the systemic need for spatial planning interventions, such as mitigating soil sealing and enhancing regional water retention capacity. Conversely, Heatwaves were categorized as Low priority; the analysis indicates that while heat stress is present, the region's coastal climatic moderation and existing social support structures provide a baseline resilience that renders current mitigation trajectories sufficient, provided that localized monitoring continues.

The assessment process successfully addressed several fundamental challenges that persisted after Phase 1. The primary success was the institutionalization of the risk assessment within the broader CIRA governance structure. By embedding the evaluation dashboard into the EIDT.RA 2030 strategy, the regional administration has bridged the traditional gap between academic climate modeling and administrative decision-making. This integration transformed the CRA from a static report into a dynamic tool that directly informs regional policy and investment. Furthermore, the participatory engagement model utilized during this phase succeeded in fostering a unified understanding of risk among fragmented municipal departments. The process effectively moved the regional discourse from a disjointed view—where individual hazards were managed in isolation—toward a systemic understanding of how climatic stressors propagate across sectors, impacting everything from infrastructure stability to public health and the economic viability of the primary sector.

Despite these significant advancements, several technical and structural challenges remain unaddressed, defining the boundaries of the current phase. The analysis identified an urgent need for higher-resolution soil sealing datasets and a finalized national forest land registry, both of which are required to further increase the accuracy of future risk modeling. Additionally, while the assessment provides a solid basis for policy design and strategic prioritization, it does not extend to the level of detailed engineering design for large-scale gray infrastructure. Complex cost-benefit analyses for major engineering works were also excluded from this mandate, as they require specialized engineering and investment-grade planning that typically follow the risk-informed design

stage. These limitations are acknowledged not as failings, but as essential boundaries that define the scope for future implementation.

Ultimately, Phase 2 of the project has established the robust planning and governance foundations necessary for sustainable climate adaptation. By establishing a clear link between technical risk identification and strategic policy development, the region now possesses a sustainable mechanism for climate adaptation that functions well beyond the project lifecycle. The findings and prioritized actions defined in this phase provide the necessary roadmap for Phase 3, where the focus will shift toward the practical implementation of adaptation pathways, ensuring that the Aveiro Region remains equipped to face evolving climate realities with informed, equitable, and scientifically sound responses.

4. Progress evaluation

The progress achieved during Phase 2 has successfully transitioned the project from initial risk screening to a localized, decision-oriented climate risk assessment. By refining the CLIMAAX framework with high-resolution regional data, the project has established the evidence base necessary for targeted adaptation strategies. The tables below summarize the project's current status, detailing the achievement of key performance indicators and milestones as the project moves into the final implementation phase.

Table 4-1 Overview key performance indicators

Key performance indicators	*Progress*
1 workflow successfully applied in Phase 1	Achieved: 07/2025 (Phase 1)
1 workflow successfully applied in Phase 2	Achieved: 06/2026 (Phase 2)
1 climate risk assessment reports developed and shared with decision-makers	Achieved: 06/2026 (Phase 2)
2 stakeholder engagement activities conducted	Ongoing: 2 activities conducted (Phase 2: Online meeting - 11/2025; In-person workshop- 05/2026). Phase 3 session already scheduled for 09/2026.
3 communication actions taken to share results with local communities and the general public	Ongoing: 2 action conducted (Environmental Justice Conference presentation - 02/2026; Environmental Justice Publication – 10/2026). To be fully achieved by 12/2026 (Phase 3).
1 policy recommendations document produced based on project findings	Planned: To be achieved by 12/2026 (Phase 3).
1 scientific publication or dissemination activity related to the project outcomes	Ongoing: Methodological framework drafted. To be fully achieved by 12/2026 (Phase 3).

Table 4-2 Overview milestones

Milestones	*Progress*
M1: Subcontracting done	Achieved: 03/2025 (Phase 1)
M2: Attend the first CLIMAAX workshop held in Barcelona	Achieved: 06/2025 (Phase 1)
M3: CLIMAAX CRA successfully applied to Aveiro Region	Achieved: 07/2025 (Phase 1)
M4: Stakeholders meeting & dissemination of CLIMAAX CRA results	Achieved: 11/2025 & 05/2026 (Phase 2)
M5: CLIMAAX CRA successfully refined with Aveiro Region local data	Achieved: 06/2026 (Phase 2)
M6: Stakeholders meeting on adaptation options and local actions	Ongoing: Preparation initiated; planned for 09/2026 (Phase 3)
M7: Adaptation options and local actions successfully defined	Planned: To be fully achieved by 11/2026 (Phase 3)
M8: Attend the final CLIMAAX workshop held in Brussels	Planned: To be fully achieved by 12/2026 (Phase 3)

5. Supporting documentation

The spatial datasets, hazard maps, and composite risk visualizations generated during the Phase 2 Climate Risk Assessment for the Aveiro Region were uploaded to the official CLIMAAX GeoNode repository. The complete package of supporting geospatial files for the Aveiro Region can be accessed at the following link: https://climaax.kajoservices.com/catalogue/#/map/188

As a structural example of the repository's visualization capabilities, the final fire risk composite for the Aveiro Region is provided below (Figure 5-1).




Figure 5-1 Example of the GeoNode interface displaying the final fire risk spatial distribution for the Aveiro Region.

Furthermore, the dissemination materials created during Phase 2 - including workshop materials, conference abstract, paper and presentation, virtual regions forum presentation, and the CRA summary report for policymakers (in Portuguese) - deposited in the Zenodo open-access repository. The available materials are shown in Figure 5-2 and can be accessed through the following link: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.21044404.




Figure 5-2 Communication materials from Phase 2 available through the project's Zenodo repository.

6. References

Agência Portuguesa do Ambiente, 2015. Estratégia Nacional de Adaptação às Alterações Climáticas.

Almeida, S., Nunes, E., 2024. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática de Albergaria-a-Velha - Relatório Final.

Barroso, S., Caetano, G., Calado, L., Henriques, P., Vieira, S., 2025. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática – Ílhavo - Relatório Sintese.

Cardoso, J.C., Nunes, E., 2021. Plano de Ação para a Energia Sustentável e o Clima do Município de Anadia - Anexo Técnico: Impactos e vulnerabilidades .

Cardoso, J.C., Nunes, E.F., 2024. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática de Anadia - Relatório final.

Carlos, J.J., Rabaça, L., Ré, M.L., 2016. Estratégia Municipal de Adaptação às Alterações Climáticas - Município de Ílhavo.

Copernicus CDS, n.d. Climate Data Store. https://cds.climate.copernicus.eu/.

Costa, S., Nunes, E.F., 2024. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática de Oliveira do Bairro - Relatório de implementação.

Direção Geral do Território, 2026. Sistema Nacional de Informação Geográfica. https://snig.dgterritorio.gov.pt/

GADM, 2026. Global Administrative Areas. https://gadm.org/

Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2023. Statistics Portugal. https://www.ine.pt/xportal/xmain?xpid=INE&xpgid=ine_main

Instituto Nacional de Estatística, 2021. Censos 2021. https://geoc2021.ine.pt/

Jacob, D., Petersen, J., Eggert, B., Alias, A., Christensen, O.B., Bouwer, L.M., Braun, A., Colette, A., Déqué, M., Georgievski, G., Georgopoulou, E., Gobiet, A., Menut, L., Nikulin, G., Haensler, A., Hempelmann, N., Jones, C., Keuler, K., Kovats, S., Kröner, N., Kotlarski, S., Kriegsmann, A., Martin, E., van Meijgaard, E., Moseley, C., Pfeifer, S., Preuschmann, S., Radermacher, C., Radtke, K., Rechid, D., Rounsevell, M., Samuelsson, P., Somot, S., Soussana, J.-F., Teichmann, C., Valentini, R., Vautard, R., Weber, B., Yiou, P., 2014. EURO-CORDEX: new high-resolution climate change projections for European impact research. Reg. Environ. Change 14, 563–578. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10113-013-0499-2

Karger, D.N., Conrad, O., Böhner, J., Kawohl, T., Kreft, H., Soria-auza, R.W., Zimmermann, N.E., Linder, H.P., Kessler, M., 2017. Data Descriptor: Climatologies at high resolution for the earth' s land surface areas. Nature Publishing Group 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2017.122

Laranjeira, C., Nunes, E.F., 2023. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática de Águeda - Relatório final. Águeda.

Medina, A., Neves, D., Medina, J., Ferreira, L., Godinho, L., Bernardo, P., Cunha, S., Loureiro, S., 2024. Plano Municipal de Ação Climática de Aveiro (PMAC)

OSM, 2023. Open Street Maps. https://www.openstreetmap.org/

Portugal. Estrutura de Missão eBUPi, n.d. Balcão Único do Prédio. https://bupi.gov.pt/pt

Região de Aveiro, 2024. Região de Aveiro. https://www.regiaodeaveiro.pt/

Riahi, K., Vuuren, D.P. Van, Kriegler, E., Edmonds, J., Neill, B.C.O., Fujimori, S., Bauer, N., Calvin, K., Dellink, R., Fricko, O., Lutz, W., Popp, A., Crespo, J., Kc, S., Leimbach, M., Jiang, L., Kram, T., Rao, S., Emmerling, J., Ebi, K., Hasegawa, T., Havlik, P., Humpenöder, F., Aleluia, L., Silva, D., Smith, S., Stehfest, E., Bosetti, V., Eom, J., Gernaat, D., Masui, T., Rogelj, J., Stre, J., Drouet, L., Krey, V., Luderer, G., Harmsen, M., Takahashi, K., Baumstark, L., Doelman, J.C., Kainuma, M., Klimont, Z., Marangoni, G., Lotze-campen, H., Obersteiner, M., Tabeau, A., Tavoni, M., 2017. The Shared Socioeconomic Pathways and their energy , land use , and greenhouse gas emissions implications : An overview 42, 153–168. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2016.05.009